NAMIBIA UNIVERSITY
OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
Office of the Vice-Chancellor

13 Jackson Kaujeua Street
Private Bag 13388
Windhoek
NAMIBIA

T: +264 61 207 2001
F: +264 61 207 9001
E: vc@nust.na

PART A

NOTICE FOR SELECTION OF PROCUREMENT AWARD

BID NAME: Open National Bid for the supply and delivery of 290 desktop computers for STEM laboratories at the Namibia University of Science and Technology (NUST) (*Procurement Reference No. G/ONB/NUST–001/2024)*

Pursuant to Section 55 of the Public Procurement Act No.15 of 2015 and following a competitive Procurement exercise undertaken in accordance with the Act, the Namibia University of Science and Technology (NUST) has resolved to appoint the following bidders for the supply and delivery of 290 desktop computers for the STEM laboratories:

Qty.	Item Description	Name of Bidder
145	Desktop Computers and Monitors	Performance Development Centre CC
145	Desktop Computers and Monitors	Altus Information Communication Technology CC

PART B

NOTICE TO THE FOLLOWING BIDDERS NOT SELECTED FOR AWARD:

No	Name of Bidder
1	TMU Supply and Delivery Services (Pty) Ltd
2	Apex Business Solutions CC
3	Twinrain I.T Solutions (Pty) Ltd
4	Gee and Sons Trading CC
5	Syntex Information Technologies (Pty) Ltd
6	Plutonic Investments CC
7	Business Connexion Namibia (Pty) Ltd
8	Eshisha F. Technology CC
9	Green Enterprises Solutions (Pty) Ltd
10	Last Kings Investments CC
11	Ema Marquez Technologies and Services CC
12	Amana Asset Auditors CC
13	Schoemans Technology (Pty) Ltd
14	Penit Stationers & Investment CC
15	Sirka Investment CC
16	H.L.S Investments CC
17	Acunam information Technology (Pty) Ltd
18	Shine Technologies (Pty) Ltd
19	Essential Investments CC

20	Virtua Technologies (Pty) Ltd
21	Telepassport Communication (Pty) Ltd
22	Bluesky Technologies CC
23	Azzurro Investments CC
24	D-Rag Trading Enterprise CC
25	Enthusiast Technology cc
26	African Brands Trading & Consulting CC
27	Diverse Systems Solution CC
28	Conley Investment CC
29	PHP Interactive I.T Solutions CC
30	Wealthnet Investment CC
31	Ebbrie Genesis Stationer CC
32	Black Infinity Investment (Pty) Ltd

a) Kindly note that the bidders whose particulars are specified in **PART A** have been selected for the award.

b) The criteria used to select the bidder for the award in paragraph (a) are in the bidding document reference number G/ONB/NUST–001/2024.

c) Kindly note that if you are not satisfied with the selection for the award made by the Namibia University of Science and Technology (NUST), you may make an application for the review of the selection made within seven (7) days of this notice. In the absence of an application for review, the Accounting Officer will award the contract to the bidders selected for the award.

d) The period of seven (7) days referred to in paragraph (d) starts on **02 August 2024** and ends on **12 August 2024 at 16h30 (Namibian Time).**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE BID EVALUATION REPORT (SECTION 55 (8))

Name of Procurement: BID NAME: Open National Bid for the supply and delivery of 290 desktop computers for STEM laboratories at the Namibia University of Science and Technology (NUST)

Date: 02 August 2024

Procurement Reference No: G/ONB/NUST–001/2024

Scope of Contract:

Supply and Delivery of desktop computers and laptops as per specifications in the bid document.

1. **Procurement Method**: Open National Bid (Section 29 (a) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015.
2. **Date of Invitation of Bids**: 02 February 2024
3. **Closing date of submission of bids**: 15 March 2024
4. **Date and Place of Opening of bids**: 15 March 2024, Namibia University of Science and Technology (NUST) HR Boardroom
5. **Number of bids received by closing date**: Thirty-Four (34)

6. RESPONSIVENESS OF BIDS:

		Responsiveness as per evaluation stage			
Bid No.	**Bidder Name**	**Mandatory Documents**	**Technical Evaluation**	**Financial Evaluation**	**Reasons why the bid is/not responsive**
1	TMU Supply and Delivery Services (Pty) Ltd	Qualified	Qualified	Not responsive and disqualified	Liquidity does not meet requirements. The bidder has a bank guarantee of 5 million but a shortfall of 3 million is not provided. The bidder has only 3 years of experience instead of 5 years as stated in the bidding document. The bidder was disqualified.
2	Apex Business Solutions CC	Not responsive and Disqualified	Not considered	Not considered	The bidder did not submit a copy of the shareholders' identification documentation. The bidder was disqualified.
3	Altus Information Communication Technology CC	Qualified	Qualified	Qualified	**The bidder Qualified.**
4	Twinrain I.T Solutions (Pty) Ltd	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	Not considered	The bidder submitted a typed Written Undertaking without stating Section 138 (2) of the Labour Act. The bidder was disqualified.
5	Gee and Sons Trading CC	Qualified	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	The bidder quoted for 16 GB RAM instead of 32GB RAM and Quoted for 512 SSD instead of 1 TB SSD. The bidder was disqualified.
6	Syntex Information Technologies (Pty) Ltd	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	Not considered	The bidder did not submit a Written Undertaking in compliance with Section 138 (2) of the Labour Act, 2007. The bidder was disqualified
7	Performance Development Centre CC	Qualified	Qualified	Qualified	**The bidder Qualified.**
8	Plutonic Investments CC	Qualified	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	The bidder quoted on the specifications sheet attached to the bid document. There was no quotation on a letterhead submitted indicating their specifications. The bidder was disqualified.
9	Business Connexion Namibia (Pty) Ltd	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	Not considered	The bidder did not submit a Written Undertaking in compliance with Section 138 (2) of the Labour Act, 2007. The bidder was disqualified.
10	Eshisha F. Technology CC	Qualified	Qualified	Not responsive	The bidder's liquidity does not meet the requirements. The

				and disqualified	letter of intent from the bank provided only indicated 10% guarantee which is not equivalent to the value of the bid. The bidder was disqualified.
11	Green Enterprises Solutions (Pty) Ltd	Qualified	Qualified	Not responsive and disqualified	The bidder was not the lowest responsive bid and quoted amount exceeded the approved budget. The bidder was disqualified.
12	Kings Investments CC	Qualified	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	The bidder quoted SATA HDD instead of NVMe SSD. There is no Onboard 8 GB Graphics indicated on the quotation. The monitor quoted does not have HDMI. The bidder was disqualified.
13	Ema Marquez Technologies and Services CC	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	Not considered	The bidder submitted a typed Written Undertaking without stating the Section 138 (2) of the Labour Act. The bidder was disqualified.
14	Amana Asset Auditors CC	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	Not considered	The bidder did not submit a Written Undertaking in compliance with Section 138 (2) of the Labour Act, 2007. The bidder was disqualified.
15	Schoemans Technology (Pty) Ltd	Qualified	Qualified	Not responsive and disqualified	The bidder's Liquidity does not meet requirements. The bidder was disqualified.
16	Penit Stationers & Investment CC	Qualified	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	The bidder did not indicate windows 11. No keyboard and mouse indicated. The bidder was disqualified.
17	Sirka Investment CC	Qualified	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	**Option 1** No Onboard 8 GB Graphics indicated. **Option 2** The bidder quoted for Monitor 24'', No Onboard indicated. **Option 3** No midi tower indicated. The bidder was disqualified
18	H.L.S Investments CC	Not responsive and disqualified	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	The bidder did not submit a Written Undertaking in compliance with Section 138 (2) of the Labour Act, 2007. The bidder was disqualified.
19	Acunam Information Technology (Pty) Ltd	Qualified	Qualified	Not responsive	The did not provide enough evidence of three **(3)** reference

				and disqualified	letters for the **last 12** months. The bidder only provided **one (1)** valid reference letter. The second reference letter shows the project was undertaken in 2022, which is beyond the 12 months period required in the bid. The third reference letter does not show the time period the project was undertaken. The bidder was disqualified.
20	Shine Technologies (Pty) Ltd	Qualified	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	The bidder did not quote for Tier one desktop. The bidder did not indicate RAM, 1 TB SSD. No 1 TB SSD, DDR 4 RAM, Onboard, windows 11, keyboard and 3-year warranty quoted. The bidder was disqualified.
21	Essential Investments CC	Qualified	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	The bidder did not quote for 1 TB SSD, DDR 4 RAM, Onboard, windows 11, keyboard and 3-year warranty quoted. The bidder was disqualified.
22	Virtua Technologies (Pty) Ltd	Qualified	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	The bidder did not quote for midi tower indicated on the quotation. The bidder was disqualified.
23	Telepassport Communication (Pty) Ltd	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	Not considered	The bidder did not submit a copy of the shareholders' identification documentation and the Affirmative Action Compliance Certificate, or proof from Employment Equity Commissioner that bidder is not a relevant employer or exemption issued in terms of Section 42 of the Affirmative Action Act, 1998. The bidder did not submit a Written Undertaking in compliance with Section 138 (2) of the Labour Act, 2007. No brand indicated on the quotation. The bidder was disqualified.
24	Bluesky Technologies CC	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	Not considered	The bidder did not submit a Written Undertaking in compliance with Section 138 (2) of the Labour Act, 2007. The bidder was disqualified.
25	Azzurro Investments CC	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	Not considered	The bidder did not submit a Written Undertaking in

					compliance with Section 138 (2) of the Labour Act, 2007. The bidder was disqualified.
26	D-Rag Trading Enterprise CC	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	Not considered	The bidder did not submit a Written Undertaking in compliance with Section 138 (2) of the Labour Act, 2007. The bidder was disqualified.
27	Enthusiast Technology CC	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	Not considered	The bidder did not submit a Written Undertaking in compliance with Section 138 (2) of the Labour Act, 2007. The bidder was disqualified.
28	African Brands Trading & Consulting CC	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	Not considered	The bidder did not submit a Written Undertaking in compliance with Section 138 (2) of the Labour Act, 2007. The bidder was disqualified.
29	Diverse Systems Solution CC	Qualified	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	No brand indicated on the quotation. The bidder was disqualified.
30	Conley Investment CC	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	Not considered	The bidder did not submit a Written Undertaking in compliance with Section 138 (2) of the Labour Act, 2007. The bidder was disqualified.
31	PHP Interactive I.T Solutions CC	Qualified	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	No onboard 8GB Graphics indicated. The bidder was disqualified.
32	Wealthnet Investment CC	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	Not considered	The bidder did not submit a Written Undertaking in compliance with Section 138 (2) of the Labour Act, 2007. The bidder was disqualified.
33	Ebbrie Genesis Stationer CC	Qualified	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	The bidder did not submit a quotation stating the specifications required. The bidder was disqualified.
34	Black Infinity Investment (Pty) Ltd	Qualified	Qualified	Not responsive and disqualified	The bidder did not provide financial statements to confirm the profit earned, minimum average turnover, and liquidity. No evidence of 3 reference letters for the last 12 months. The bidder was disqualified.

7. Recommended for award:

The BEC, after careful **re-consideration**, recommended the award of the supply and delivery of 290 desktop computers for the STEM laboratories should be split equally to the two lowest responsive bids to spread the supply risk and ensure that the awarded suppliers have the capacity to meet the delivery deadlines expected by NUST.

Name of Bidder	Quantity	Price N$ VAT Incl
Performance Development Centre CC	145	5 270 087.35
Altus Information Communication Technology CC	Quantity	Price N$ VAT Incl
	145	5 352 035.55
Total Cost VAT (Incl (N$)		**10 622 122.90**

Sincerely

NAMIBIA UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
01 AUG 2024
OFFICE OF THE VICE-CHANCELLOR

Dr Erold Naomab
Accounting Officer/Vice-Chancellor