



PART A

NOTICE FOR SELECTION OF PROCUREMENT AWARD

(Section 55 (4) of the Act)

(Regulation 38 (1))

BID NAME: REQUEST FOR SEALED QUOTATIONS FOR THE SUPPLY AND DELIVERY OF VARIOUS OFFICE FURNITURE FOR THE NAMIBIA UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

Procurement Reference No: G/ONB/NUST-006/2026

Pursuant to Section 55 (4) of the Public Procurement Act No.15 of 2015 and following a competitive Procurement exercise undertaken in accordance with the Act, the Namibia University of Science and Technology (NUST) has resolved to appoint the following bidder:

Bidder Name	Physical Address	Cost, N\$ (VAT Inclusive)
Performance Development Centre CC	ERF 12 Jakaranda Street, Suiderhof, Windhoek	826 277.88

PART B

NOTICE TO THE FOLLOWING BIDDERS NOT SELECTED FOR AWARD:

Supplier Name
Schlip Vision Trading CC
Cecil Nurse Namibia (Pty) Ltd
Nunga Investment CC
AAA Trading Enterprises CC
Hike-Rauch Investments CC
KDV Investment CC
SK Sebby Investments CC
Namib Office Supplies CC
Lelly Investment CC
Waltons Namibia (Pty) Ltd
Officeconomix CC
Cotton Family Investments CC
Profimaxi Investment Group
Optaqon Investments cc

- a) Kindly note that the bidder whose particulars are specified in **PART A** has been selected for the award.
- b) The criteria used to select the bidder for the award in paragraph (a) are in the bidding document reference number **G/ONB/NUST-006/2026**.
- c) Kindly note that if you are not satisfied with the selection for the award made by the Namibia University of Science and Technology (NUST), you may make an application for the review of the selection made within seven (7) days of this notice. In the absence of an application for review, the Accounting Officer will award the contract to the bidders selected for the award.
- d) The period of seven (7) days referred to in paragraph (d) starts on **15 July 2026** and ends on **21 July 2026 at 16h30 (Namibian Time)**.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE BID EVALUATION REPORT (SECTION 55 (8))

BID NAME: Request for Sealed Quotations for the Supply and Delivery of Various Office Furniture for the Namibia University of Science and Technology

Date: 14 July 2026

Procurement Reference No: G/ONB/NUST-006/2026

Scope of Contract:

Request for Sealed Quotations for the Supply and Delivery of Various Office Furniture

Procurement Method: Request for Sealed Quotations as per Section 32 (a) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015.

1. **Date of Invitation for Bids:** 02 April 2026
2. **Closing date of submission of bids:** 17 April 2026
3. **Date and Place of Opening of bids:** 17 April 2026, Office of the Registrar Boardroom
4. **Number of bids received by closing date:** Fifteen (15)
5. **RESPONSIVENESS OF BIDS:**

The summary of the responsiveness of the bids:

		Responsiveness as per the evaluation stage
--	--	---

Bid No.	Bidder Name	Mandatory Documents	Technical Evaluation	Financial Evaluation	Reasons why the bid is/not responsive
1	Schlip Vision Trading CC	Qualified	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	-The bidder has less than 4 years' experience -The bidder's company profile not clearly narrated. -The bidder warranty is less than 3 years minimum requirement -The bidder did not attach reference letters of similar goods supplied -The bidder scored 7% overall in the technical evaluation. The bidder was disqualified after the technical evaluation and did not proceed to the financial evaluation
2	Cecil Nurse Namibia (Pty) Ltd	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	Not considered	-The bidder did not attach the certified IDs of the company's shareholders. The bidder was disqualified from further evaluation.
3	Nunga Investment CC	Qualified	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	-The bidder has less than 4 years' experience -The bidder did not attach the company profile -The bidder warranty is less than 3 years minimum requirement -The bidder did not attach reference letter of similar goods -The bidder scored 5% overall in the technical evaluation The bidder was disqualified after the technical evaluation and did not proceed to the financial evaluation
4	AAA Trading Enterprises CC	Qualified	Not responsive	Not considered	-The bidder attached only one (1) reference letter of similar goods

			and disqualified		-The bidder scored 55% overall in the technical evaluation The bidder was disqualified after the technical evaluation and did not proceed to the financial evaluation
5	Hike-Rauch Investments CC	Qualified	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	-The bidder has less than 4 years' experience -The bidder warranty is less than 3 years minimum requirement -The bidder attached only two (2) reference letters of similar goods -The bidder scored 35% overall in the technical evaluation The bidder was disqualified after the technical evaluation and did not proceed to the financial evaluation
6	KDV Investment CC	Qualified	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	-The bidder has less than 4 years' experience -The bidder attached only two (2) reference letters of similar goods -The bidder scored 55% overall in the technical evaluation The bidder was disqualified after the technical evaluation and did not proceed to the financial evaluation
7	SK Sebby Investments CC	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	Not considered	-The bidder did not attach the certified Social Security Commission Good standing. The bidder was disqualified from further evaluation.
8	Namib Office Supplies CC	Qualified	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	-The bidder has less than 4 years' experience -The bidder warranty is less than 3 years minimum requirement -The bidder did not attach reference letter of similar goods

					-The bidder scored 15% overall in the technical evaluation The bidder was disqualified after the technical evaluation and did not proceed to the financial evaluation
9	Lelly Investment CC	Qualified	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	-The bidder attached only one (1) reference letter of similar goods -The bidder scored 55% overall in the technical evaluation The bidder was disqualified after the technical evaluation and did not proceed to the financial evaluation
10	Waltons Namibia (Pty) Ltd	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	Not considered	-The bidder did not attach all the IDs of the directors as listed on the Company Registration document. The bidder was disqualified from further evaluation.
11	Officeconomix CC	Qualified	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	-The bidder has less than 4 years' experience -The bidder didn't attach the company profile -The bidder warranty is less than 3 years minimum requirement -The bidder didn't attach reference letter of similar goods -The bidder scored 5% overall in the technical evaluation The bidder was disqualified after the technical evaluation and did not proceed to the financial evaluation
12	Cotton Family Investments CC	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	Not considered	-A valid certified copy of the Company registration certificate, for an entity incorporated or registered under the company or close corporation laws of Namibia, clearly indicating shareholders' and principals' contact

					details (as registered with BIPA) or a valid certified copy certificate of registration of a co-operative registered under the laws regulating co-operatives in Namibia was not attached. The bidder was disqualified from further evaluation
13	Profimaxi Investment Group	Qualified	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	-The bidder has less than 4 years' experience -The bidder's company profile not clearly narrated -The bidder warranty is less than 3 years minimum requirement -The bidder attached only one (1) reference letter of similar goods -The bidder scored 12% overall in the technical evaluation The bidder was disqualified after the technical evaluation and did not proceed to the financial evaluation
14	Performance Development Centre cc	Qualified	Qualified	Qualified	The bidder submitted all the mandatory documents required. The bidder qualified for technical and financial evaluation. The bidder was recommended for award.
15	OptaQon Investments cc	Qualified	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	-The bidder has less than 4 years' experience -The bidder scored 65% overall in the technical evaluation The bidder was disqualified after the technical evaluation and did not proceed to the financial evaluation

6. Price comparison of responsive bids:

Bidder No	Bidder Name	Bid Price N\$ VAT Incl
14	Performance Development Centre CC	826,277.88

7. Price for lowest responsive bid:

Bidder No	Bidder Name	Campuses	Tendered Amount (VAT Inclusive)
14	Performance Development Centre	Windhoek Main Campus	670,205.63
		Eenhana Campus	156,072.25
Total cost			826,277.88

8. Recommendation for Award:

The Namibia University of Science and Technology recommends the award of award of the supply and delivery of desks and chairs for Windhoek NUST Main Campus and Eenhana NUST Campus to **Performance Development Centre CC** at a cost of **N\$ 826 277.88, VAT Inclusive**, as met requirements.

Sincerely



Prof Andrew Niikondo

Acting Vice-Chancellor/Accounting Officer

