



PART A

NOTICE FOR SELECTION OF PROCUREMENT AWARD

BID NAME: Open Advertised Bidding for General renovation works for the Namibia University of Science and Technology Shangri-la hostel (Main Campus)

Procurement Reference No: W/OAB/NUST- 020/2025

Pursuant to Section 55 (1) of the Public Procurement Act No.15 of 2015 as amended and following a competitive Procurement exercise undertaken in accordance with the Act, the Namibia University of Science and Technology (NUST) has resolved to appoint the following bidder:

Bidder Name	Physical Address
LP Investment CC	Erf 28 Quenta Street Ludwigsdorf, Windhoek

PART B

NOTICE TO THE FOLLOWING BIDDERS NOT SELECTED FOR AWARD:

Bidder No.	Supplier Name
1	PamoHR Construction CC
2	Ontime Plumbing & Construction CC
4	Siku Investment CC
5	Blue Box Capital CC
6	Florida Trading CC
7	J R Building Contractors C
8	Feist Investments 140 CC

- Kindly note that the bidder whose particulars are specified in **PART A** has been selected for the award.
- The criteria used to select the bidder for the award in paragraph (a) are in the bidding document reference number **W/OAB/NUST- 020/2025**.
- Kindly note that if you are not satisfied with the selection for the award made by the Namibia University of Science and Technology (NUST), you may make an application for the review of the selection made within seven (7) days of this notice. In the absence of an application for review, the Accounting Officer will award the contract to the bidders selected for the award.
- The period of seven (7) days referred to in paragraph (d) starts on **06 March 2026** and ends on **16 March 2026 at 16h30 (Namibian Time)**.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE BID EVALUATION REPORT (SECTION 55 (8)) OF THE ACT AS AMENDED

BID NAME: Open Advertised Bidding for General renovation works for the Namibia University of Science and Technology Shangri-la hostel (Main Campus)

Date: 05 March 2026

Procurement Reference No: W/OAB/NUST- 020/2025

Scope of Contract:

Open Advertised Bidding for General renovation works for the Namibia University of Science and Technology Shangri-la hostel (Main Campus)

1. **Procurement Method:** Open Advertised Bidding (Works) as per Section 27 (1)(a)(i) read together with Section 28(2) and Section 29(b) of the Public Procurement Act, 15 of 2015.
2. **Date of Invitation for Bids:** 17 October 2025
3. **Closing date of submission of bids:** 24 October 2025
4. **Date and Place of Opening of bids:** 28 November 2025, Office of the Registrar Boardroom
5. **Number of bids received by closing date:** Eight (8)
6. **RESPONSIVENESS OF BIDS:**

The summary of the responsiveness of the bids:

Bid No.	Bidder Name	Responsiveness as per the evaluation stage			
		Mandatory Documents	Technical Evaluation	Financial Evaluation	Reasons why the bid is/not responsive
1	PamoHR Construction CC	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	Not considered	The bidder did not fully complete the declaration form of the responsiveness criteria checklist. The bidder was disqualified.
2	Ontime Plumbing & Construction CC	Qualified	Qualified	Not the lowest responsive bidder and was not considered for award.	The bidder was not the lowest responsive bidder. The bidder was disqualified.
3	LP Investment CC	Qualified	Qualified	Qualified	The bidder met all requirements and was the lowest responsive bid. The bidder qualified.
4	Siku Investment CC	Qualified	Qualified	Not the lowest responsive bidder and was not considered for award.	The bidder was not the lowest responsive bidder. The bidder was disqualified.
5	Blue Box Capital CC	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	Not considered	-The bidder did not complete the period of validity section as stipulated in the NUST Bid Submission Form but instead reproduced it on their company letterhead.

					<p>-The bidder did not fill in all the Bidding Form, sign, and/or stamp/seal them as required, but instead reproduced it on their company letterhead, and it did not read the same.</p> <p>-The bidder did not complete, sign, and submit a bid securing declaration Form; instead, they reproduced it on their company letterhead.</p> <p>The bidder was disqualified.</p>
6	Florida Trading CC	Qualified	Qualified	The bidder's pricing was non compliant and was not considered for award.	<p>The bidder's pricing was 20% below the benchmark price and was deemed non-compliant as per formulae used on page 37 & 38 of the Standard Bidding Document.</p> <p>The bidder was disqualified.</p>
7	J R Building Contractors CC	Qualified	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	<p>-The bidder did not submit the Curriculum Vitae (CVs) of the Site Engineer.</p> <p>-The bidder did not submit the signed consent letters of all key personnel dedicated to this Project.</p> <p>-The bidder submitted a list of at least two (2) general construction works completed by the bidder as a prime contractor, joint venture member, or subcontractor over the last ten (10) years, with no cumulative financial.</p> <p>The bidder did not obtain the minimum 70% required to pass the technical evaluation criteria.</p> <p>The bidder was disqualified.</p>
8	Feist Investments 140 CC	Qualified	Qualified	The bidder's pricing was non compliant and was not considered for award	<p>The bidder's pricing was 24% above the benchmark price and was deemed non-compliant as per formulae used on page 37 & 38 of the Standard Bidding Document.</p> <p>The bidder was disqualified.</p>

7. Summary of evaluation of financial proposals:

The following arithmetic errors and corrections were done:

	Otime Plumbing & Construction CC		LP Investment CC	Siku Investment CC	Florida Trading CC		Feist Investments 140 CC	
	Bidder Calculation	BEC Calculation	Bidder Calculation & BEC Calculation	Bidder Calculation & BEC Calculation	Bidder Calculation	BEC Calculation	Bidder Calculation	BEC Calculation
NET CONST. COST	7,256,370.00	7,163,430.00	6,949,220.00	7,212,295.00	5,785,569.00	5,785,920.00	9,031,133.04	9,031,125.70
(VAT) at 15%	1,088,455.50	1,074,514.50	1,042,383.00	1,081,844.25	867,835.35	867,888.00	1,354,669.96	1,354,668.86
CONTRACT SUM INCL. VAT	8,344,825.50	8,237,944.50	7,991,603.00	8,294,139.25	7,003,403.35	6,653,808.00	10,385,803.00	10,385,794.56
Variance	106, 881.00		-	-	349,595.35		8.44	

The BEC used the formulae on pages 37 & 38 for calculating the Standard Score, z as well as the Standard Benchmark Price and the results are as follows:

Bidder	Corrected Amount (N\$)	Individual Std Score, z
Otime Plumbing & Construction CC	8,237,944.50	-0.062
LP Investment CC	7,991,603.00	-0.268
Siku Investment CC	8,294,139.25	-0.015
Florida Trading CC	6,653,808.00	-1.386
Feist Investments 140 CC	10,385,794.56	1.733
Number of bidders, n	5.00	
Mean of bids, μ	8,312,657.86	
Standard Deviation, σ	1,196,551.70	

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{\sum(x_i - \mu)^2}{N}} \quad z = (x - \mu) / \sigma$$

Note: Only bidders whose z-scores were within the range of -1.000 and +1.000 were used to determine the Standard 'Benchmark' Price. Hence, the two bidders with z-scores shown highlighted in the table above were not utilised. Utilising those within the range, the Standard 'Benchmark' Price shown below was obtained.

	Amount (N\$)
Employer's (NUST) Quantity Surveyors Estimate	8,500,000.00
Standard 'Benchmark' Price	8,337,281.13

Standard 'Benchmark' Price = 50% x ((Arithmetic Mean) + 50% (Employer's Engineer Estimate))

The bidders' amounts were then compared to the Standard 'Benchmark' Price, and those that were more by 10% or less by 10% from the 'Benchmark' were deemed non-compliant.

Bidder	Corrected Amount (N\$)	% of SBP	% Difference from SBP
Ontime Plumbing & Construction CC	8,237,944.50	98.81%	1.19%
LP Investment CC	7,991,603.00	95.85%	4.15%
Siku Investment CC	8,294,139.25	99.48%	0.52%
Florida Trading CC	6,653,808.00	79.81%	20.19%
Feist Investments 140 CC	10,385,794.56	124.57%	-24.57%
Standard 'Benchmark' Price (SBP)	8,337,281.13		

This then yields the ranking as shown below:

Bidder Name	Bidder Amount	Ranking	Comments
Ontime Plumbing & Construction CC	8,237,944.50	2	Compliant
LP Investment CC	7,991,603.00	1	Compliant
Siku Investment CC	8,294,139.25	3	Compliant
Florida Trading CC	6,653,808.00	-	Non-compliant (20% below SBP)
Feist Investments 140 CC	10,385,794.56	-	Non-compliant (24% above SBP)

8. Recommendation for Award:

The Namibia University of Science and Technology recommends the award for the **general renovation works for the Namibia University of Science and Technology, Shangri-La Hostel (Main Campus) to LP Investment CC at a cost of N\$7 991 63.00 (VAT inclusive)**, as they met all requirements and submitted the lowest responsive bid.

Sincerely

Prof Andrew Niikondo
Accounting Officer/Acting Vice-Chancellor

