

### **PART A**

# **NOTICE FOR SELECTION OF PROCUREMENT AWARD**

BID NAME: Open National Bid for the appointment of five (5) suppliers for the supply and delivery of desktop computers and laptops to the Namibia University of Science and Technology (NUST) for a period of two (2) years on a need basis (*Procurement Reference No. G/ONB/NUST-014/2023*)

Pursuant to Section 55 of the Public Procurement Act No.15 of 2015 and following a competitive Procurement exercise undertaken in accordance with the Act, the Namibia University of Science and Technology (NUST) has resolved to appoint the following bidders on a panel for the supply and delivery of desktop computers and laptops for a period of two (2) years on a need basis:

| No. | Item Description              | Name of Bidder                                |
|-----|-------------------------------|---|
| 1   | Laptops                       | Green Enterprises Solutions (Pty) Ltd         |
| 2   | Desktop Computers and Laptops | Altus Information Communication Technology CC |
| 3   | Desktop Computers and Laptops | Schoemans Technologies (Pty) Ltd              |

### **PART B**

#### NOTICE TO THE FOLLOWING BIDDERS NOT SELECTED FOR AWARD:

| 1  | Eta Information and Technology Trading CC |
|----|---|
| 2  | Faradayz Investment CC                    |
| 3  | Ultimate Investment CC                    |
| 4  | Performance Development Centre CC         |
| 5  | Gee and Sons Trading CC                   |
| 6  | Essential Investments CC                  |
| 7  | Ema Marquez Technologies and Services CC  |
| 8  | Duo Squared Technology Specialist CC      |
| 9  | TMU Supply and Delivery Services          |
| 10 | Last Kings Investments CC                 |
| 11 | Syntex Information Technologies (Pty) Ltd |
| 12 | Shine Technologies (Pty) Ltd              |
| 13 | Sirka Investment CC                       |
| 14 | Tuyeni Technology Solutions CC            |
| 15 | PHP Interactive IT Solutions CC           |
| 16 | 3G Mobile                                 |

- a) Kindly note that the bidders whose particulars are specified in PART A have been selected for the award.
- b) The prices offered per building to be renovated are listed in the table in **PART A** as per applicable BoQ in bid document.
- c) The criteria used to select the bidder for the award in paragraph (a) are in the bidding document reference number G/ONB/NUST-014/2023.
- d) Kindly note that if you are not satisfied with the selection for the award made by the Namibia University of Science and Technology (NUST), you may make an application for the review of the selection made within seven (7) days of this notice. In the absence of an application for review, the Accounting Officer will award the contract to the bidders selected for the award.
- e) The period of seven (7) days referred to in paragraph (d) starts on 11 March 2024 and ends on 19 March 2024 at 16h30 (Namibian Time).

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE BID EVALUATION REPORT (SECTION 55 (8))**

Name of Procurement: BID NAME: Open National Bid for the appointment of five (5) suppliers for the Supply and Delivery of Desktop Computers and Laptops to The Namibia University of Science and Technology (NUST) For a Period of two (2) years on a need-basis.

Date: 07 March 2024

Procurement Reference No: G/ONB/NUST-014/2023

#### **Scope of Contract:**

Supply and Delivery of desktop computers and laptops as per specifications in the bid document.

- 1. Procurement Method: Open National Bid (Section 29 (a) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015.
- 2. Date of Invitation of Bids: 06 October 2023
- 3. Closing date of submission of bids: 17 November 2023
- **4. Date and Place of Opening of bids**: 17 November 2023, Namibia University of Science and Technology (NUST) Senate Boardroom
- 5. Number of bids received by closing date: Nineteen (19)
- 6. RESPONSIVENESS OF BIDS:

|         |   | Responsivenes          | s as per evaluati                        | on stage                |  |
|---------|---|------------------------|--|-------------------------|--|
| Bid No. | Bidder Name                               | Mandatory<br>Documents | Technical<br>Evaluation                  | Financial<br>Evaluation | Reasons why the bid is/not responsive  |
| 1       | Eta Information and Technology Trading CC | Qualified              | Not<br>responsive<br>and<br>disqualified | Not<br>considered       | The bidder did not quote on onboard LAN, Wi-Fi, Bluetooth, webcam Audio with Micro.  NVMe Solid State Disk quoted is 512 GB instead of 1TB.  No Warranty indicated.  The monitor quoted is not supported, is phasing out. The bidder was |

|    |   |                                 | 1  |  | disqualified.  |
|----|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 2  | Faradayz Investments CC                       | Qualified                       | Not<br>responsive<br>and<br>disqualified | Not<br>considered                        | The bidder did not provide a quotation that specifies the details of all items. The bidder was disqualified.   |
| 3  | Green Enterprises Solutions<br>(Pty) Ltd      | Qualified                       | Qualified                                | Qualified for laptops                    | The bidder did not quote on 12 <sup>th</sup> generation desktop computers as required and no brand name indicated. The bidder qualified for Laptops.                   |
| 4  | Ultimate Investment cc                        | Qualified                       | Not<br>responsive<br>and<br>disqualified | Not<br>considered                        | The bidder did not provide a quotation that specifies the details of all items. The bidder was disqualified.   |
| 5  | Altus Information Communication Technology cc | Qualified                       | Qualified                                | Qualified                                | The bidder qualified.  |
| 6  | Performance Development<br>Centre CC          | Qualified                       | Not<br>responsive<br>and<br>disqualified | Not<br>considered                        | The bidder did not indicate warranty. The bidder was disqualified.   |
| 7  | Gee and Sons Trading CC                       | Qualified                       | Qualified                                | Not<br>responsive<br>and<br>disqualified | The bidder provided a minimum average annual turnover for the last 3 years of less than 10 million.  -No bank guarantee letter submitted. The bidder was disqualified. |
| 8  | Schoemans Technology (Pty) Ltd                | Qualified                       | Qualified                                | Qualified                                | The bidder qualified.  |
| 9  | Essential Investments CC                      | Qualified                       | Not<br>responsive<br>and<br>disqualified | Not<br>considered                        | The bidder quoted for 10 <sup>th</sup> Generation instead of 12 <sup>th</sup> .  No webcam and dock station quoted. The bidder was disqualified                        |
| 10 | Ema Marquez Technologies and<br>Services CC   | Not responsive and disqualified | Not<br>considered                        | Not<br>considered                        | The bidder submitted all mandatory documents required, but the supplier did not initial or sign next to the erasures they made as stipulated on                        |

|    |  |                                    |  |  | page 15 of the Bid<br>Document Section<br>23.3.  |
|----|--|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 11 | Duo Squared Technology<br>Specialist CC      | Qualified                          | Not<br>responsive<br>and<br>disqualified | Not<br>considered                        | The bidder did not provide a quotation that specifies the details of all items. The bidder was disqualified.   |
| 12 | TMU Supply and Delivery<br>Services          | Not responsive<br>and disqualified | Not<br>considered                        | Not<br>considered                        | The bidder did not submit a Written Undertaking in compliance with Section 138 (2) of the Labour Act, 2007. The bidder was disqualified.   |
| 13 | Last Kings Investments CC                    | Qualified                          | Qualified                                | Not<br>responsive<br>and<br>disqualified | The bidder did not attach five (5) company reference letters as specified in the bid document.  -Bank guarantee letter provided was not to the value of tender amount.  No financial statements provided. The bidder was disqualified. |
| 14 | Syntex Information<br>Technologies (Pty) Ltd | Qualified                          | Qualified                                | Not<br>responsive<br>and<br>disqualified | The bidder did not submit company reference letters. Bank guarantee letter is less than tender amount. No financial statements provided. The bidder was disqualified.  |
| 15 | Shine Technologies (Pty) Ltd                 | Qualified                          | Qualified                                | Not<br>responsive<br>and<br>disqualified | The quotation did not indicate a mouse, or webcam.  No warranty stipulated. The bidder was disqualified.   |
| 16 | Sirka Investment CC                          | Qualified                          | Qualified                                | Not<br>responsive<br>and<br>disqualified | The bidder provided a minimum average annual turnover of less than 10 million for the last 3 years. The bank credit facility letter  |

|    |                                 |                                 |                   |  | provided was not equivalent to tender amount. The bidder was disqualified.  |
|----|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--|---|
| 17 | Tuyeni Technology Solutions CC  | Qualified                       | Qualified         | Not<br>responsive<br>and<br>disqualified | The bidder did not submit company reference lettersBank guarantee is 10% of tendered amountNo financial statements provided. The bidder was disqualified. |
| 18 | PHP Interactive IT Solutions CC | Not responsive and disqualified | Not<br>considered | Not<br>considered                        | The bidder did not submit a Written Undertaking in compliance with Section 138 (2) of the Labour Act, 2007. The bidder was disqualified                   |
| 19 | 3G Mobile                       | Not responsive and disqualified | Not<br>considered | Not<br>considered                        | The bidder did not submit a copy of the shareholders' identification documentation. The bidder was disqualified.  |

## 7. Recommended panel of suppliers:

The Namibia University of Science and Technology appoints the following three (3) bidders to form part of the panel of suppliers to supply and deliver laptops and desktop computers to NUST for two (2) on a need basis as they met all the requirements:

| No. | Item Description              | Name of Bidder                                |
|-----|-------------------------------|---|
| 1   | Laptops                       | Green Enterprises Solutions (Pty) Ltd         |
| 2   | Desktop Computers and Laptops | Altus Information Communication Technology CC |
| 3   | Desktop Computers and Laptops | Schoemans Technologies (Pty) Ltd              |

