13 Jackson Kaujeua Street Private Bag 13388 Windhoek NAMIBIA T: +264 61 207 2001 F: +264 61 207 9005 E: vc@nust.na

### **PART A**

## NOTICE FOR SELECTION OF PROCUREMENT AWARD ISSUED IN TERMS OF

(Section 55 (4) of the Act)

(Regulation 38 (1))

BID NAME: Restricted bidding for the supply, delivery, installation, testing, training and commissioning, and maintenance of two (2) enterprise-grade storage devices solution to the Namibia University of Science and Technology (NUST) (*Procurement Reference No.* G/RB/NUST-009/2025) Pursuant to Section 55 of the Public Procurement Act No.15 of 2015 and following a competitive Procurement exercise undertaken in accordance with the Act, the Namibia University of Science and Technology (NUST) has selected bidder whose details are mention below for award under subsection (4) of that section. The name and the address of the bidder is as follows:

Name of bidder	Physical Address	Cost, N\$ (VAT Inclusive)
Onix Business Solution (Pty) Ltd	18 Nachtigal Street, Ausspannplatz	14 274 616.47

### **PART B**

# NOTICE TO THE FOLLOWING BIDDERS NOT SELECTED FOR AWARD:

Supplier Name	
Business Connexion Namibia (Pty) Ltd	
Schoemans Technologies CC	
APIX Digital Solution (Pty) Ltd	
Virtua Technologies (Pty) Ltd	
Acunam Information Technology (Pty) Ltd	

- a) Kindly note that the bidders whose particulars are specified in PART A have been selected for the award.
  - The criteria used to select the bidder for the award in paragraph (a) are in the bidding document reference number G/RB/NUST-009/2025.
- b) Kindly note that if you are not satisfied with the selection for the award made by the Namibia University of Science and Technology (NUST), you may make an application for the review of the selection made within seven (7) days of this notice. In the absence of an application for review, the Accounting Officer will award the contract to the bidders selected for the award.
- c) The period of seven (7) days referred to in paragraph (d) starts on **21 November 2025** and ends on **02 December 2025** at **16h30 (Namibian Time)**.

# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE BID EVALUATION REPORT (SECTION 55 (8))**

Bid Title: Restricted bidding for the supply, delivery, installation, testing, training and commissioning, and maintenance of two (2) enterprise-grade storage devices solution to the Namibia University of Science and Technology (NUST)

Date: 17 November 2025

**Procurement Reference No**: G/RB/NUST – 009/2025 **Scope of Contract**:

Restricted bidding for supply, delivery, installation, testing, training and commissioning, and maintenance of two (2) enterprise-grade storage devices solution.

- 1. **Procurement Method**: Restricted Bidding as per Section 31 (1) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015.
- 2. Date of Invitation of Bids: Monday, 02 June 2025.
- 3. Closing date of submission of bids: 20 June 2025.
- 4. Date and Place of Opening of Bids: 14 July 2025, Office of the Registrar Boardroom.
- 5. Invitation to bidders:

No.	Supplier Name			
1	Mach 10 (Pty) Ltd			
2	Acunam Information Technology (Pty) Ltd			
3	Business Connexion Namibia (Pty) Ltd			
4	Schoemans Technologies (Pty) Ltd			
5	Salt IT (Pty) Ltd			
6	Altus Information Communication Technologies CC			
7	Onix Business Solution (Pty) Ltd			
8	APIX Digital Solution (Pty) Ltd			
9	Virtua Technologies (Pty) Ltd			

# 6. Number of bids received by closing date: Six (6)

Bidder No	Supplier Name		
1	Business Connexion Namibia (Pty) Ltd		
2	Schoemans Technologies CC		
3	APIX Digital Solution (Pty) Ltd		
4	Onix Business Solution (Pty) Ltd		
5	Virtua Technologies (Pty) Ltd		
6	Acunam Information Technology (Pty) Ltd		

#### 7. RESPONSIVENESS OF BIDS:

The summary of the responsiveness of the bids:

		Responsivene	ess as per the eval	uation stage	
Bid	Bidder Name	Mandatory	Technical	Financial	Reasons why the bid is/not
No.		Documents	Evaluation	Evaluation	responsive
1	Business Connexion Namibia (Pty) Ltd	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	Not considered	- The bidder did not attach copies of the IDs of the shareholders as required in the bid document.  The bidder was disqualified.
2	Schoemans Technologies CC	Qualified	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	-The Bidder did not provide evidence of a similar project valued at a minimum of N\$2 million within the last five (5) years as requested in the bid document.  - The bidder indicated a 3-year warranty in their quotation instead of the five (5) years, and after-sales support conditions as stipulated in the bid document.  -The reference letters provided did not specify the contract amount or the delivery date of the goods as stipulated in the bid document.  - The bidder indicated that the spare parts and after-sales services will be available within 4 -7 days and not 24 hours as stipulated in the bid document.  - The bidder's quotation was required to include annual maintenance and subscription fees for five (5) years; however, the bidder did not indicate the subscription fees in their quotation.  The bidder was disqualified.
3	APIX Digital Solution (Pty) Ltd	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	Not considered	- The bidder provided an invalid, duplicated certified copy of the IDs of all shareholders' Identification documents as requested in the bid document.
					The bidder was disqualified.

4	Onix Business Solution (Pty) Ltd	Qualified	Qualified	Qualified	The bidder met all the requirements and was the
	( sy, ===				lowest responsive bid.
					The bidder qualified.
5	Virtua Technologies (Pty) Ltd	Qualified	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	-The Bidder did not provide evidence of a similar project valued at a minimum of N\$2 million within the last five (5) years as requested in the bid documentThe bidder indicated a 1-year warranty on their quotation instead of the 5-year warranty stipulated in the bid documentThe reference letters provided did not specify the contract amount or the delivery date of the goods as required in the bid document.
				*	- The bidder's quotation was required to include annual maintenance and subscription fees for five (5) years; however, the bidder did not indicate the subscription fees in their quotationThe Bidder did not provide proof of at least two (2) engineers who hold valid and current Accredited Solutions Expert certifications for the brand of the solution being proposed as required in the bid document.
6	Acunam Information Technology Pty Ltd	Qualified	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	<ul> <li>The Bidder did not provide evidence of a similar project valued at a minimum of N\$2 million within the last five (5) years as requested in the bid document.</li> <li>The bidder did not indicate the warranty of five (5) years, and after-sales support conditions in their quotation as requested in the bid document.</li> </ul>

-The reference letters provided did not specify the contract amount or the delivery date of the goods as required in the bid
document.

## 8. Price of Responsive Bid:

Bidder Name	Cost, N\$ (VAT Inclusive)	
Onix Business Solution (Pty) Ltd	14 274 616.47	

### 9. Recommended for award:

The BEC recommended the award of the supply, delivery, installation, testing, training and commissioning, and maintenance of two (2) enterprise-grade storage devices solution to Onix Business Solution (Pty) Ltd at a cost of N\$14 274 616.47 VAT inclusive, as they met all requirements and had the lowest responsive bid.

Sincerely

**Prof Andrew Niikondo** 

Acting Vice-Chancellor/Accounting Officer of the