



**NAMIBIA UNIVERSITY
OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY**

Office of the Bursar

13 Jackson Kaujeua Street
Private Bag 13388
Windhoek
NAMIBIA

T: +264 61 207 2066
F: +264 61 207 9066
E: bursar@nust.na
W: www.nust.na

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF BID EVALUATION REPORT

[Issued in terms of section 7(1)(i) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015]

G/ONB/NUST- 002/2023

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF BID EVALUATION REPORT

PROJECT TITLE: THE SUPPLY AND DELIVERY OF LAPTOPS AND DESKTOPS AT THE NAMIBIA UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (NUST)

PROCUREMENT NUMBER: G/ONB/NUST- 002/2023

1. Scope of Contract: **the supply and delivery of laptops and desktops at the Namibia University of Science and Technology (NUST)**
2. Procurement method used: **Open National Bid**
3. Date of Invitation of Bids: **27 January 2023**
4. Closing date for submission of bids: **09 March 2023**
5. Date and place of opening of bids: **09 March 2023, Council Chambers**
6. Number of bids received by the closing date: **Thirty-Three (33)**
7. Responsiveness bids: **Three (3)**
8. Summary of Administrative Evaluation

Bid Envelope Number	Name of Bidder	Responsive (Disqualified/Qualified)	Reason for Recommendation / Disqualification
1	Namibia Technology Solutions cc	Qualified	The bidder submitted all mandatory documents required.
2	Apex Business Solutions cc	Disqualified	The bidder did not submit a copy of the shareholders' identification documentation.
3	Virtua Technologies (Pty) Ltd	Qualified	The bidder submitted all mandatory documents required.

Bid Envelope Number	Name of Bidder	Responsive (Disqualified/Qualified)	Reason for Recommendation / Disqualification
4	Shine Technologies (Pty) Ltd	Qualified	The bidder submitted all mandatory documents required.
5	Altus Information Communication Technology cc	Qualified	The bidder submitted all mandatory documents required.
6	TMU Supply and Delivery Services (Pty) Ltd	Qualified	The bidder submitted all mandatory documents required.
7	Schoemans Technologies (Pty) Ltd	Qualified	The bidder submitted all mandatory documents required.
8	Prime Innovative Technologies (Pty) Ltd	Qualified	The bidder submitted all mandatory documents required.
9	Sims Technologies cc	Disqualified	The bidder did not submit a copy of the shareholders' identification documentation
10	Amana Asset Auditors cc	Qualified	The bidder submitted all mandatory documents required
11	Green Enterprises (Pty) Ltd	Qualified	The bidder submitted all mandatory documents required
12	Syntex Technologies (Pty) Ltd	Disqualified	The bidder did not submit a Written Undertaking in compliance with Section 138 (2) of the Labour Act, 2007.
13	Dimension Data Namibia (Pty) Ltd	Qualified	The bidder submitted all mandatory documents required
14	BCX (Business Connexion) Namibia (Pty) Ltd	Disqualified	The bidder did not submit a copy of the shareholders' identification documentation. The bidder did not submit a Written Undertaking in compliance with Section 138 (2) of the Labour Act, 2007
15	Mobile Telecommunications Company	Qualified	The bidder submitted all mandatory documents required
16	Innovist Technologies cc	Qualified	The bidder submitted all mandatory documents required
17	Ema Marquez Technologies cc	Qualified	The bidder submitted all mandatory documents required
18	Nimble Investment cc	Qualified	The bidder submitted all mandatory documents required

Bid Envelope Number	Name of Bidder	Responsive (Disqualified/Qualified)	Reason for Recommendation / Disqualification
19	Last Kings Investment cc	Qualified	The bidder submitted all mandatory documents required
20	Gee and Sons Trading cc	Qualified	The bidder submitted all mandatory documents required
21	Performance Development Centre cc	Qualified	The bidder submitted all mandatory documents required
22	Salt Essential Information Technology (Pty) Ltd	Qualified	The bidder submitted all mandatory documents required
23	OAK Investments cc	Qualified	The bidder submitted all mandatory documents required
24	Wendhold Trading Enterprises cc	Disqualified	The bidder did not submit a copy of the shareholders' identification documentation
25	Acunam Information Technology (Pty) Ltd	Qualified	The bidder submitted all mandatory documents required
26	KAZ Technology cc	Qualified	The bidder submitted all mandatory documents required
27	NEVI Investments cc	Disqualified	The bidder submitted an expired Affirmative Action Compliance Certificate / EEC
28	Four Zero Four Investments cc	Disqualified	The bidder did not submit a copy of the shareholders' identification documentation and the Affirmative Action Compliance Certificate / EEC submitted is expired.
29	Pre-Eminence Financial cc	Disqualified	The bidder did not submit a copy of the shareholders' identification documentation. The bidder submitted expired Social Security Commission Good Standing Certificate expired NAMRA Good Standing Tax Certificate and no Affirmative Action Compliance Certificate / EEC submitted.
30	Moena Stationeries cc	Disqualified	The bidder did not submit a copy of the shareholders' identification documentation
31	Three G Mobile Namibia (Pty) Ltd	Disqualified	The bidder did not submit a copy of the shareholders' identification documentation, no Social Security Commission Good Standing

Bid Envelope Number	Name of Bidder	Responsive (Disqualified/ Qualified)	Reason for Recommendation / Disqualification
			Certificate was submitted, No NAMRA Good Standing Tax Certificate and no Affirmative Action Compliance Certificate / EEC was submitted
32	Chakulu Investment cc	Qualified	The bidder submitted all mandatory documents required
33	Direct Information Computer Technology cc	Disqualified	The bidder did not submit a Written Undertaking in compliance with Section 138 (2) of the Labour Act, 2007

9. Summary Technical Evaluation

Bid Envelope Number	Name of Bidder	Reason for Recommendation / Disqualification	Recommendation (Disqualified/ Qualified)
1	Namibia Technology Solutions cc	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The bidder quoted for HP - No Manufacturer Authorisation letter provided - No Warranty indicated - Did not meet the requirements 	Disqualified
3	Virtua Technologies (Pty) Ltd	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The bidder quoted for HP and Lenovo - Warranty of one (1) year indicated instead of three (3) years specified in the bid document - BEC members used information on website to confirm the warranty - Did not meet the requirements 	Disqualified
4	Shine Technologies (Pty) Ltd	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The bidder quoted for HP - No Warranty indicated - No Manufacturer Authorisation letter from HP provided - Did not meet the requirements 	Disqualified
5	Altus Information Communication Technology cc	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The bidder quoted for HP from Tarsus - No Warranty indicated - No Manufacturer Authorisation letter provided - Did not meet the requirements 	Disqualified

Bid Envelope Number	Name of Bidder	Reason for Recommendation / Disqualification	Recommendation (Disqualified/ Qualified)
6	TMU Supply and Delivery Services (Pty) Ltd	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The bidder quoted for Lenovo laptops and Acer desktops - Stipulated three (3) year warranty - Manufacturer Authorisation letter is provided - Met all the requirements 	Qualified
7	Schoemans Technologies (Pty) Ltd	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The bidder quoted on two options, which is HP and lenovo - The BEC opted for option 2 lenovo - There is three (3) year warranty - Manufacturer Authorisation letter for HP is provided - Met all the requirements 	Qualified
8	Prime Innovative Technologies (Pty) Ltd	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The bidder quoted for lenovo - Three (3) warranty is indicated - No Manufacturer Authorisation letter is provided - Did not meet the requirements 	Qualified
10	Amana Asset Auditors cc	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The bidder quoted for lenovo - Three (3) year warranty is specified - Manufacturer Authorisation letter is provided - Met all the requirements 	Qualified
11	Green Enterprises (Pty) Ltd	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The bidder quoted on lenovo - Three (3) year warranty has been indicated - Manufacturer Authorisation letter is provided - Met all the requirements 	Qualified
13	Dimension Data Namibia (Pty) Ltd	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The bidder quoted for lenovo - Three (3) year warranty has been indicated - Warranty on the desktop is indicated - No warranty indicated on laptop - Did not meet all the requirements 	Disqualified
15	Mobile Telecommunication Company Namibia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The bidder quoted for HP and Lenovo - No warranty indicated - No Manufacturer Authorisation letter provided - Did not meet all the requirements 	Disqualified

Bid Envelope Number	Name of Bidder	Reason for Recommendation / Disqualification	Recommendation (Disqualified/ Qualified)
16	Innovist Technologies cc	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The bidder quoted for lenovo - Three (3) year warranty is indicated - Manufacturer Authorisation letter provided - Met all the requirements 	Qualified
17	Ema Marquez Technologies cc	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The bidder quoted for Dell that has been discontinued - Specifications are not clearly indicated - No warranty indicated - No Manufacturer Authorisation letter provided - Did not meet all the requirements 	Disqualified
18	Nimble Investment cc	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The bidder quoted for HP - Three (3) year warranty has been indicated - Manufacturer Authorisation letter is provided - Met all the requirements 	Qualified
19	Last Kings Investment cc	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The bidder did not indicate the brand for the product - No warranty indicated - No Manufacturer Authorisation letter provided - Did not meet all the requirements 	Disqualified
20	Gee and Sons Trading cc	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The bidder quoted for HP - The desktop indicated is i5 instead of i7 required - Memory indicated is 8 GB instead of 16 GB required - Three (3) year warranty has been indicated - No Manufacturer Authorisation letter provided - Did not meet all the requirements 	Disqualified
21	Performance Development Centre cc	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The bidder did not indicate the brand for the product - Three (3) year warranty has been indicated - No Manufacturer Authorisation letter provided - Did not meet all the requirements 	Disqualified
22	Salt Essential Information Technology (Pty) Ltd	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The bidder quoted for HP - The three (3) year warranty is indicated at an additional cost 	Disqualified

Bid Envelope Number	Name of Bidder	Reason for Recommendation / Disqualification	Recommendation (Disqualified/ Qualified)
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - No Manufacturer Authorisation letter provided - Did not meet all the requirements 	
23	OAK Investments cc	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The bidder indicated that the reseller is Tarsus company - The bidder did not indicate the brand for the product - Quoted for 15 inch instead of 15.6 inch required - No warranty indicated - No manufacturer Authorisation letter provided - Did not meet all the requirements 	Disqualified
25	Acunam Information Technology (Pty) Ltd	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The bidder quoted for lenovo - No RAM memory for the laptop provided - Three (3) year warranty is indicated - Manufacturer Authorisation letter is provided - Did not meet all the requirements 	Disqualified
26	KAZ Technology cc	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The bidder quoted an HP product - Indicated that the reseller is Tarsus Company - Three (3) year warranty is specified - No Manufacturing Authorisation letter provided - Did not meet all the requirements 	Disqualified
32	Chakulu Investment cc	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The bidder quoted an HP product - The bidder Indicated that the reseller is Tarsus Company - Desktop has 8GB RAM is indicated instead of 16GB required - No Manufacturer Authorisation letter provided - One (1) year warranty on laptop is specified with an extension of three (3) years - Did not meet all the requirements 	Disqualified

10. Price Comparison of responsive bids

Bid Envelope No.	Name of Bidder	100 Laptops (N\$)	30 Desktops (N\$)	30 Monitor (N\$)	Total Cost VAT (Incl (N\$))
Bidder 6	TMU Supply and Delivery Services	2 236 637.30	579 178.41	84 333.18	2 900 148.89
Bidder 7	Schoemans Technologies (Pty) Ltd	2 255 150.00	534 750.00	93 737.50	2 885 637.50
Bidder 10	Amana Assets Auditors	2 586 116.54	583 187.66	613 064.66	3 782 368.86
Bidder 11	Green Enterprise Solution (Pty) Ltd	2 211 505.20	537 177.42	96 056.28	2 844 738.90
Bidder 16	Innovist Technologies cc	2 283 503.00	693 639.30	263 195.40	3 240 337.70
Bidder 18	Nimble Investments cc	2 318 696.70	554 163.84	95 788.56	2 968 649.10

11. Best Evaluated Bids

The Bid Evaluation Committee recommended that the contract for the supply and delivery of laptops and desktops is awarded to the following three bidders as they met all requirements and submitted the lowest responsive bids:

Name of Bidder	Name of Item	Quantity	Amount (N\$) VAT Incl
TMU Supply and Delivery Services	Monitors	30	84 333.18
Schoemans Technologies (Pty) Ltd	Desktops	30	534 750.00
Green Enterprise Solution (Pty) Ltd	Laptops	100	2 211 505.20
Total Contracts awarded			2 830 588.38