



**NAMIBIA UNIVERSITY
OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY**

Office of the Bursar

13 Jackson Kaujeua Street
Private Bag 13388
Windhoek
NAMIBIA

T: +264 61 207 2066
F: +264 61 207 9066
E: bursar@nust.na
W: www.nust.na

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF BID EVALUATION REPORT

[Issued in terms of section 7(1)(i) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015]

G/RFQ/NUST-008/2023

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF BID EVALUATION REPORT

PROJECT TITLE: REQUEST FOR SEALED QUOTATIONS FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF A PANEL OF SUPPLIERS FOR THE SUPPLY, DELIVERY, AND INSTALLATION OF VARIOUS STRUCTURED NETWORK CABLING EQUIPMENT TO THE NAMIBIA UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (NUST) ON A NEED-BASIS AGREEMENT FOR A PERIOD OF TWO (2) YEARS.

PROCUREMENT NUMBER: G/RFQ/NUST-008/2023

1. Scope of Contract: **REQUEST FOR SEALED QUOTATIONS FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF A PANEL OF SUPPLIERS FOR THE SUPPLY, DELIVERY, AND INSTALLATION OF VARIOUS STRUCTURED NETWORK CABLING EQUIPMENT TO THE NAMIBIA UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (NUST) ON A NEED-BASIS AGREEMENT FOR A PERIOD OF TWO (2) YEARS.**
2. Procurement method used: **Request for sealed quotations.**
3. Date of Invitation of Bids: **28 April 2023**
4. Closing date for submission of bids: **14 June 2023**
5. Date and place of opening of bids: **14 June 2023, Registrar Boardroom**
6. Number of bids received by the closing date: **Fifteen (15)**
7. Responsiveness bids: **Two (2)**

8. Summary of Administrative Evaluation

Bid Envelope number	Name of Bidder	Recommendation (Disqualified/ Qualified)	Reason for Recommendation / Disqualification
1	Dika Networking CC	Disqualified	The bidder submitted only 1 reference letter instead of 3 as required.
2	Altus Information Communication Technology CC	Disqualified	The bidder submitted only 1 reference letter instead of 3 as required.
3	Komesho Networking CC	Disqualified	The bidder did not submit certified identification documents of all the shareholders as required in the bid document. The bidder submitted 1 reference letter instead of 3 as required.
4	Datacom Technologies CC	Qualified	Met all the administrative requirements
5	Paratus Telecommunications (Pty) Ltd	Disqualified	The bidder did not submit valid nor certified identification documents of all the shareholders as required in the bid document.
6	Hungwe Civil Engineering & Construction CC	Qualified	Met all the administrative requirements
7	Advance Cabling Solution CC	Qualified	Met all the administrative requirements
8	Dimension Data Namibia (Pty) Ltd	Disqualified	The bidder submitted identification documents that were not certified.
9	S and S Consulting Services CC	Disqualified	The bidder submitted 1 reference letter instead of 3 as required.
10	Lus Investment CC	Disqualified	The bidder did not submit certified identification documents of all the shareholders as required in the bid document

11	Central Civil Works CC	Disqualified	The bidder did not submit the signed Bid submission Form. The bidder did not submit the 3 reference letters of similar service as required.
12	Eta Information Technologies & Trading CC	Disqualified	The bidder did not submit certified identification documents of all the shareholders as required in the bid document.
13	H.L S Investment CC	Qualified	Met all the administrative requirements
14	Innovist Technologies CC	Disqualified	The bidder did not submit certified identification documents of all the shareholders as required in the bid document
15	BRJ Investments CC	Disqualified	The bidder did not submit certified identification documents of all the shareholders as required in the bid document

9. Summary Technical Evaluation:

Bidder 4: Datacom Technologies CC

- The bidder scored 85% of the overall technical evaluation.
- The bidder failed to submit a detailed company profile and therefore scored of 0% at that section.
- The bidder provided two (2) contactable references and scored 15% instead of the full points.

Bidder 6: Hungwe Civil Engineering & Construction CC

- The bidder scored 55% of the overall technical evaluation.
- The bidder did not submit of CV of Contract Manager for this contract, therefore scored 0% for that section.
- The bidder did not indicate its response time after the Order Placement and scored 0% for that section.
- The bidder provided two (2) contactable references and scored 15% for that section.

Bidder 7: Advance Cabling Solution CC

- The bidder scored 30% of the overall technical evaluation.
- The bidder did not submit of CV of Contract Manager for this contract, therefore scored 0% for that section.
- The bidder indicated two (2) weeks delivery of its response time after the Order Placement and scored 15%.
- The bidder did not submit a detailed company profile, therefore scored 0% for that section.

- The bidder did not attach proof of three (3) contactable reference and scored 0% for that section.
- The bidder did not submit the key personnel experience indicating three (3) years' experience and scored 0% for that section.
- The bidder did not provide its organisational chart indicating key personnel.

Bidder 13: H.L.S Investment CC

- The bidder scored 85% of the overall technical evaluation.
- The bidder only provided two (2) contactable references and scored 15% for that section.
- The bidder has bid 90% of the item quoted, as they did not quote for PDU, and therefore then scored 10% instead of the full 20%.

10. Price Comparison of responsive bids

Bid Evaluation Financial Score Summary	Name of bidders			
	Bidder 4: Datacom Technologies CC		Bidder 13: H.L.S Investment CC	
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 1	Year2
Total (NAD)	73 235.00	77 715.00	113 921.80	119 094.80
Vat (15%)	10 985.25	11 657.25	17 088.27	17 864.22
Contract Total Amount	84 220.25	89 372.25	131 010.07	136 959.02
Total Contract two (2) years	173 592.50		267 969.09	

11. Best Evaluated Bid:

Bid Financial Summary	Evaluation Score		Name of bidder	
	Bidder 4: Datacom Technologies CC			
	Year 1	Year 2		
Total (NAD)	73 235.00	77 715.00		
Vat (15%)	10 985.25	11 657.25		
Contract Total Amount	84 220.25	89 372.25		
Total Contract two (2) years	173 592.50			

Bidder 4 was selected as they provided the lowest responsive bid.